COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0344-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 456

Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Cities, Towns and Villages

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 24, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal revises the law regarding fresh pursuit to include allowing

law enforcement officers of fourth class cities to arrest and hold in custody

certain specified individuals.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0344-01 Bill No. HB 456 Page 2 of 4 March 24, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0344-01 Bill No. HB 456 Page 3 of 4 March 24, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would not have a fiscal impact.

In response to a similar proposal from 2010 (HB 1462), officials from **Centralia** stated the proposal did not appear to have any fiscal impact on their jurisdiction.

Officials from the cities of **Ashland**, **Belton**, **Bernie**, **California**, **Kearney**, and **Knob Noster** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes the proposal would not have a fiscal impact to local political subdivisions.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
FISCAL IWI ACT - Local Government	(10 Mo.)	11 2013	FT 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0344-01 Bill No. HB 456 Page 4 of 4 March 24, 2011

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety City of Centralia

NOT RESPONDING:

City of Ashland
City of Belton
City of Bernie
City of California
City of Kearney
City of Knob Noster

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 24, 2011